

0250 Judicial Branch

Expenditures of Superior Courts

Table 2: Expenditures of Superior Courts¹

Superior Court	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Estimated ^{2,3}	Superior Court	FY 2011-12 Actual	FY 2012-13 Estimated ^{2,3}
Alameda	103,054,846	98,495,840	Orange	207,526,187	202,987,928
Alpine	598,181	pending	Placer	17,467,603	16,752,116
Amador	2,983,758	3,013,442	Plumas	1,845,351	pending
Butte	12,922,111	12,633,720	Riverside	137,417,730	135,139,432
Calaveras	3,081,686	3,414,461	Sacramento	94,293,531	91,903,931
Colusa	2,179,686	2,466,472	San Benito	3,514,071	3,678,071
Contra Costa	57,382,417	62,951,521	San Bernardino	107,803,559	105,194,436
Del Norte	3,464,624	3,919,150	San Diego	192,967,530	pending
El Dorado	10,666,516	9,482,285	San Francisco	74,216,118	75,129,240
Fresno	62,452,532	55,374,387	San Joaquin	35,461,399	pending
Glenn	3,009,399	2,858,354	San Luis Obispo	17,167,170	16,590,092
Humboldt	7,764,987	8,195,163	San Mateo	42,929,913	41,059,909
Imperial	12,995,444	12,606,456	Santa Barbara	31,648,518	31,361,620
Inyo	3,034,668	3,132,382	Santa Clara	109,390,876	114,392,455
Kern	63,478,700	59,339,285	Santa Cruz	16,166,100	13,652,383
Kings	9,186,004	pending	Shasta	16,177,777	15,256,939
Lake	4,405,711	4,100,374	Sierra	636,642	612,106
Lassen	3,740,681	3,569,047	Siskiyou	5,550,251	5,419,362
Los Angeles	687,243,000	706,746,761	Solano	25,549,794	22,626,045
Madera	9,824,623	10,003,547	Sonoma	28,852,791	27,219,104
Marin	18,526,584	16,259,377	Stanislaus	24,428,276	pending
Mariposa	1,543,651	1,444,165	Sutter	6,464,386	6,130,752
Mendocino	6,336,979	5,919,679	Tehama	4,018,048	4,030,819
Merced	13,900,262	14,002,900	Trinity	1,703,525	pending
Modoc	1,306,493	1,225,983	Tulare	25,568,221	21,859,248
Mono	2,509,423	2,112,199	Tuolumne	4,593,615	4,182,118
Monterey	22,939,117	22,273,994	Ventura	45,681,942	44,742,189
Napa	9,925,494	10,048,276	Yolo	13,322,785	11,609,852
Nevada	8,227,406	6,762,788	Yuba	5,857,861	5,689,563
			Total	2,446,906,553	2,159,571,718

¹ Superior court operational expenditure amounts and expenditures for supporting trial court operations from state funds reported in the Governor's budget typically are not equal to one another due to the following factors: a) portions of state funding, such as judges' compensation, are not distributed directly to the courts; b) court operations are also supported by grant funding, local and other non-state financing sources, as well as use of courts' fund balances; and c) courts may not expend all monies distributed from state funds.

² Reflects the budgets of 51 of 58 superior courts based on courts' FY 2012-13 Schedule 1 submissions as of December 10, 2012.

³ Expenditures include, partially or wholly, all items from Table 1 with the exception of: criminal and traffic case management system (V2); civil, small claims, probate and mental health case management system (V3); statewide administrative and technology infrastructure (non-V2 and V3); assigned judges; California collaborative and drug court projects; court-appointed special advocate program; and Equal Access Fund.